arXiv:1611.06035v2 [math.SP] 26 Nov 2016

Moler Tensors and Their Properties

Yi Xu * Jinjie Liu† Liqun Qi‡

November 29, 2016

Dedicated to the founder of MATLAB – Cleve Moler

Abstract

Moler matrices are named after the founder of MATLAB – Cleve Moler, and form a class of test matrices in MATLAB. They are symmetric and positive definite, yet each Moler matrix has a very small positive eigenvalue. In this paper, we extend Moler matrices to Moler tensors and Moler-like tensors, and show that Moler tensors still have similar properties as Moler matrices. In particular, they are also positive definite, and the smallest H-eigenvalue of a Moler tensor is positive and tends to zero as the dimension tends to infinity. These make Moler tensors a good candidate for test tensors in tensor software packages.

Key words:

AMS subject classifications (2010):

*Mathematics Department, Southeast University, 2 Sipailou, Nanjing, Jiangsu Province, 210096 P. R. China. yi.xu1983@hotmail.com.

†Department of Applied Mathematics, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong. liujinjie_1990@163.com.

‡Department of Applied Mathematics, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong. liqun.qi@polyu.edu.hk.

1 Introduction

Cleve Moler is a pioneer of mathematical software packages [6]. He was one of the authors of LINPACK [5] and EISPACK [19], Fortran libraries for numerical computing. Then he invented MATLAB [13], one of the most convenient mathematical software packages, used by millions of engineers and scientists nowadays. With many honors he received, one of his honors is from MATLAB itself. One of MATLAB test matrices is named after him, called the Moler matrix [7, 14].

The Moler matrix is a positive definite symmetric matrix. One of its eigenvalues is quite small, the Moler matrix is usually used for testing eigenvalue computations. In the following section, we prove that the smallest eigenvalue of a Moler matrix approaches 0, when the dimension of the Moler matrix, $n \to +\infty$.

In recent decades, tensors, as the natural extension of matrices, have been more and more ubiquitous in a wide variety of applications, such as data analysis and mining, signal processing, computational biology and so on [4], [9]. As MATLAB is an important software of matrix computation [7], some tensor computation software packages, such as MATLAB Tensor Toolbox [2] have appeared.

In [12], two well-known classes of test matrices, Pascal matrices and Lehmer matrices were extended to Pascal tensors and Lehmer tensors. They are easily checkable and were proved to be completely positive tensors [12].

Can the Moler matrix be extended to tensors by keeping its good properties? In this paper, we answer this question positively.

The remaining part of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review some basic concepts and preliminary results to help our work. The main results are given in Section 3. In that section, we generalize Moler matrices to Moler-like tensors, which include Moler tensors and essential Moler tensors with the concept of Moler value. Meanwhile, some related properties are proved. Some final remarks are made in Section 4.

2 Preliminaries

In this part, we will review some basic definitions and lemmas which are useful in our following research.

We call $\mathscr{A}(n, m)$ a real mth order n-dimensional tensor if

$$\mathscr{A}(n, m) = (a_{i_1 \cdots i_m}), i_j \in [n], j \in [m],$$

where $[n] := \{1, \cdots, n\}$. All the real mth order n-dimensional tensors form a n^m-dimensional linear space denoted by $T_{n,m}$. Furthermore, if all the entries $a_{i_1 \cdots i_m}$ of $\mathscr{A}(n, m)$ is invariant under any index permutation, $\mathscr{A}(n, m)$ is a symmetric tensor. Denote the set of all the real

symmetric tensors of order m and dimension n by $S_{n,m}$. Obviously, $S_{n,m}$ is a linear subspace of $T_{n,m}$.

Let $\mathscr{A}(n,m) = (a_{i_1\cdots i_m}) \in T_{n,m}$ and $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $\mathscr{A}(n,m)\mathbf{x}^m$ is an mth degree homogeneous polynomial defined by

$$\mathscr{A}(n,m)\mathbf{x}^m = \sum_{i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_m=1}^{n} a_{i_1\cdots i_m} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_m}.$$

We call tensor $\mathscr{A}(n,m)$ as a positive definite (**PD**) tensor if $\mathscr{A}(n,m)\mathbf{x}^m > 0$, for any nonzero vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and as positive semi-definite (**PSD**) tensor if $\mathscr{A}(n,m)\mathbf{x}^m \geq 0$, for any vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then $\mathbf{x}^{[m]}$ is a vector in $\mathbb{R}^n$ denoted as

$$\mathbf{x}^{[m]} = (x_1^m, x_2^m, \cdots, x_n^m)^\top.$$

In 2005, Qi extended the concepts of positive (semi-)definiteness and eigenvalues of matrices to tensors [15]. Positive (semi-)definiteness of tensors has widely applications in polynomial optimization, spectral hypergraph theory, magnetic resonance imaging and so on [15,16,18]. In [15], H-eigenvalues and Z-eigenvalues of tensors were introduced. Furthermore, Qi showed that an even order symmetric tensor is positive (semi-) definite if and only if all of its H-eigenvalues or Z-eigenvalues are positive (nonnegative). Various easily checkable positive (semi-)definite tensors have been discovered consequently [3, 10, 17, 20]. A survey paper about the positive semi-definite tensors can be found in [11].

Definition 1. [15] *Let $\mathscr{A}(n,m) \in T_{n,m}, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. If λ and a nonzero vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are the solutions of the following polynomial equation:*

$$\mathscr{A}(n,m)\mathbf{x}^{m-1} = \lambda \mathbf{x}^{[m-1]},$$

then we call λ an **H-eigenvalue** *of $\mathscr{A}(n,m)$, and $\mathbf{x}$ an* **H-eigenvector** *of $\mathscr{A}(n,m)$ associated with the H-eigenvalue λ.*

Theorem 1. [15] *Let $\mathscr{A}(n,m) \in S_{n,m}$, and m be even. Then $\mathscr{A}(n,m)$ is positive definite (positive semi-definite) if and only if all of the H-eigenvalues of $\mathscr{A}(n,m)$ are positive (nonnegative). Furthermore, we have*

(i)

$$\lambda_{min}(\mathscr{A}(n,m)) = \min \frac{\mathscr{A}(n,m)\mathbf{x}^m}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_m^m},$$

(ii)

$$\lambda_{min}(\mathscr{A}(n,m)) = \min\{\mathscr{A}(n,m)\mathbf{x}^m : \|\mathbf{x}\|_m = 1\},$$

where $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $\|\mathbf{x}\|_m = (\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^m)^{\frac{1}{m}}$.

Definition 2. [12] *Let $\mathscr{A}(n,m) \in S_{n,m}$. We call $\mathscr{A}(n,m)$ a* **completely positive tensor** *if there exist an integer r and some $\mathbf{u}^{(k)} \in \mathbb{R}^n_+, k \in [r]$ such that*

$$\mathscr{A}(n,m) = \sum_{k=1}^{r} (\mathbf{u}^{(k)})^m.$$

Theorem 2. [12] *Let $\mathscr{A}(n,m) \in S_{n,m}$. If $\mathscr{A}(n,m)$ is a completely positive tensor, then all the H-eigenvalues of $\mathscr{A}$ are nonnegative.*

Definition 3. [14] *Let $\mathscr{A}(n,2) \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$. We call $\mathscr{A}(n,2)$ the n-dimensional* **Moler matrix** *if*

$$\mathscr{A}(n,2)_{i,j} = \begin{cases} i, & i = j \\ \min\{i,j\} - 2, & i \neq j \end{cases}.$$

Property 1. *Let $\mathscr{A}(n,2) \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ be a n-dimensional Moler matrix. (1) $\mathscr{A}(n,2) \succ 0$; (2) Let $\lambda_{min}(\mathscr{A}(n,2))$ be the smallest eigenvalue of $\mathscr{A}(n,2)$. Then $\lambda_{min}(\mathscr{A}(n,2)) \searrow 0$.*

Proof. (1) We note that $\mathscr{A}(n,2) = LL^\top$, where

$$L_{i,j} = \begin{cases} 1, & i = j \\ -1, & i > j \\ 0, & i < j \end{cases}.$$

It is easy to get $\mathscr{A}(n,2) \succ 0$.

(2) $0 < \lambda_{min}(\mathscr{A}^{n+1,2}) \leq \lambda_{min}(\mathscr{A}(n,2))$ are easily obtained. Let $\mathbf{x} = \left(1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2^2}, \cdots, \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\right)^\top x$. We have $L^\top \mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \end{pmatrix}$, $\frac{\mathbf{x}^\top \mathscr{A}(n,2)\mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x}} = \frac{3n}{4^n - 1} \geq \lambda_{min}(\mathscr{A}(n,2))$, which means $\lambda_{min}(\mathscr{A}(n,2)) \searrow 0$, when $n \to +\infty$. □

3 Main Results

In order to extend the Moler matrix to tensor with positive semi-definiteness, we first introduce concepts called the **Moler value**, the **Moler set** and the **Sup-Moler value**.

Definition 4. *(1) Let m be an even number. We call $\alpha(m)$ as the* **Moler value**, *if $\mathscr{M}(n,m) - \alpha(m)\mathscr{N}(n,m) \succeq 0$, for any n, where*

$$\mathscr{M}(n,m)_{i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_m} = \begin{cases} i_1, & i_1 = i_2 = \cdots = i_m \\ \min\{i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_m\}, & else \end{cases}, \tag{1}$$

$$\mathscr{N}(n,m)_{i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_m} = \begin{cases} 0, & i_1 = i_2 = \cdots = i_m \\ 1, & else \end{cases}. \tag{2}$$

We call the set of all Moler values as the **Moler set** $\Omega(m)$;

(2) We call $\alpha^*(m) = \sup\{\Omega(m)\}$ *as the* **Sup-Moler value**.

Based on the aforementioned concepts, Moler matrices are generalized to Moler-like tensors and Moler tensors as following.

Definition 5. *Let* m *be an even number. We call* $\mathscr{A}(n,m) \in S_{n,m}$ *a* **Moler-like tensor**, *if*

$$\mathscr{A}(n,m) = \mathscr{M}(n,m) - \alpha(m)\mathscr{N}(n,m),$$

where $\mathscr{M}(n,m)$ *and* $\mathscr{N}(n,m)$ *are defined in* (1) *and* (2), *respectively, and* $\alpha(m) \in \Omega(m)$.

(2) We call $\mathscr{A}(n,m) \in S_{n,m}$ *a* **Moler tensor**, *if*

$$\mathscr{A}(n,m) = \mathscr{M}(n,m) - \alpha^*(m)\mathscr{N}(n,m).$$

Theorem 3. *Let* $\Omega(m)$ *be the Moler set,* $\mathscr{A}(n,m) \in S_{n,m}$ *be a Moler tensor. When* $m = 2$, *we have* $\alpha^*(2) = 2 = \max\{\Omega(2)\}$.

Proof. From the property of the Moler matrix, we get $2 \in \Omega(2)$. Then we need to prove that 2 is the Sup-Moler value in this case. If $\alpha^*(2) > 2$, then there exists an $\alpha \in (2, \alpha^*(2)) \cap \Omega(2)$ such that

$$\mathscr{M}(n,2) - \alpha\mathscr{N}(n,2) = \mathscr{M}(n,2) - 2\mathscr{N}(n,2) - (\alpha - 2)\mathscr{N}(n,2),$$

where $\mathscr{M}(n,m)$ and $\mathscr{N}(n,m)$ are defined in (1) and (2), respectively.

Let $\mathbf{x} = \left(1, \frac{1}{2}, \cdots, \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\right)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\mathbf{x}^\top(\mathscr{M}(n,2) - \alpha\mathscr{N}(n,2))\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^\top(\mathscr{M}(n,2) - 2\mathscr{N}(n,2))\mathbf{x} - (\alpha - 2)\mathbf{x}^\top\mathscr{N}(n,2)\mathbf{x}.$$

Since

$$\mathbf{x}^\top(\mathscr{M}(n,2) - 2\mathscr{N}(n,2))\mathbf{x} = \frac{3n}{4^n - 1}, \text{ and } \mathbf{x}^\top\mathscr{N}(n,2)\mathbf{x} = \frac{8}{3} - \frac{4}{2^{n-1}} + \frac{4}{3(4^{n-1})},$$

when $n \to +\infty$, we have

$$\mathbf{x}^\top(\mathscr{M}(n,2) - \alpha\mathscr{N}(n,2))\mathbf{x} < 0,$$

which is against to $\alpha \in \Omega(2)$. Hence $\alpha^*(2) = 2$. □

In the following work, a special kind of Moler-like tensors, the essential Moler tensors are discussed. The following theorem shows the relationship between the essential Moler tensors and the completely positive tensors. Then some properties of Sup-Moler values in Moler tensors are given.

Definition 6. *Let $\mathscr{A}(n,m) \in S_{n,m}$. We call $\mathscr{A}(n,m)$ the mth order n-dimensional* **essential Moler tensor** *if*

$$\mathscr{A}(n,m)_{i_1,\cdots,i_m} = \begin{cases} i_1, & i_1 = i_2 = \cdots = i_m, \\ \min\{i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_m\} - 1, & otherwise \end{cases}.$$

Theorem 4. *Let $\mathscr{A}(n,m)$ be an mth order n-dimensional essential Moler tensor. It is positive definite for all n, even m. Furthermore, it is a complete positive tensor for all n, even m, such as $\mathscr{A}(n,m) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{e}_i^m + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \mathbf{r}_i^m$, where $(\mathbf{e}_i)_j = \begin{cases} 1, & j = i \\ 0, & otherwise \end{cases}$, $(\mathbf{r}_i)_j = \begin{cases} 1, & j \geq i \\ 0, & otherwise \end{cases}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathscr{B}(n,m)_{i_1,\cdots,i_m} = \begin{cases} 1, & i_1 = i_2 = \cdots = i_m = 1 \\ 1, & i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_m \geq 2 \\ 0, & otherwise \end{cases}$. Since $\mathscr{B}(n,m) = (1,0,\cdots,0)^m + (0,1,\cdots,1)^m$, $\mathscr{B}(n,m)$ is a complete positive tensor.

Let $\mathscr{C}(n,m) = \mathscr{A}(n,m) - \mathscr{B}(n,m)$. Then

$$\mathscr{C}(n,m) = \begin{cases} i_1 - 1, & i_1 = i_2 = \cdots = i_m \geq 2 \\ 0, & \min\{i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_m\} = 1 \\ \min\{i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_m\} - 2, & otherwise \end{cases}.$$

Let $\mathscr{A}(n-1,m)_{i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_m} = \mathscr{C}(n,m)_{i_1+1,i_2+1,\cdots,i_m+1}, i_j \in [n-1], j \in [m]$. Then $\mathscr{A}^{n-1,m}$ is an mth order $n-1$ dimensional essential Moler tensor. Furthermore, if $\mathscr{A}(n-1,m)$ is the complete positive tensor, $\mathscr{A}(n,m)$ is the complete positive tensor.

By the same way, we could get $\mathscr{A}^{i,m}, i \in [n]$, are all essential Moler tensors. When $n = 1$, $\mathscr{A}^{1,m}$ is equal to the positive number 1. By induction, we get that $\mathscr{A}(n,m)$ is a complete positive tensor and also a positive definite tensor. □

Corollary 1. *Let $\Omega(m)$ be the Moler set, and $\mathscr{M}(n,m), \mathscr{N}(n,m)$ be defined in (1) and (2), respectively. For all n and even m, 1 is always a Moler value, i.e., $1 \in \Omega(m)$.*

Proof. Since $\mathscr{M}(n,m) - \mathscr{N}(n,m)$ is an essential Moler tensor for all n and even m, we get that 1 is a Moler value. □

Property 2. *Let $\Omega(m)$ be the Moler set, and $\mathscr{M}(n,m), \mathscr{N}(n,m)$ be defined in (1) and (2), respectively. Then,*

(1) for any $\alpha_1(m), \alpha_2(m) \in \Omega(m)$, $[\alpha_1(m), \alpha_2(m)] \subseteq \Omega(m)$;
(2) for all even $m \geq 4$, $1 < \alpha^*(m) < 2$;
(3) $\alpha^*(m) = \max\{\Omega(m)\}$;
(4) $\alpha^*(m) \searrow 1$, when $m \to +\infty$.

Proof. (1) It is obvious.

(2) Since $1 \in \Omega(m)$ for all even m, $\Omega(m) \neq \emptyset$. Let us consider the tensor $\mathscr{M}(n,m) - 2\mathscr{N}(n,m)$ and $\mathbf{x} = \left(1, \frac{1}{2}, \cdots, \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\right)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then

$$(\mathscr{M}(n,m) - 2\mathscr{N}(n,m))\mathbf{x}^m = 2\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\mathbf{e}_i^\top \mathbf{x})^m + \sum_{i=2}^{n}(\mathbf{r}_i^\top \mathbf{x})^m - (\mathbf{e}^\top \mathbf{x})^m,$$

where $\mathbf{e} = (1, \cdots, 1)^\top$. When $m \geq 4$ and $n \geq 2$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\mathbf{e}_i^\top \mathbf{x})^m = \sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{1}{(2^m)^{i-1}} \leq \frac{2^m}{2^m - 1} \leq \frac{16}{15},$$

$$\sum_{i=2}^{n}(\mathbf{r}_i^\top \mathbf{x})^m \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\frac{1}{(2^m)^{i}} \leq \frac{2^m}{2^m - 1} \leq \frac{16}{15},$$

and

$$(\mathbf{e}^\top \mathbf{x})^m = (\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{1}{2^{i-1}})^m = \left(\frac{1 - \frac{1}{2^n}}{1 - \frac{1}{2}}\right)^m \geq \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^m.$$

Then

$$(\mathscr{M}(n,m) - 2\mathscr{N}(n,m))\mathbf{x}^m \leq \frac{48}{15} - \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^m < 0.$$

Therefore, for all even m, 2 is an upper bound of $\Omega(m)$. Then $\alpha^*(m)$ exists and $\alpha^*(m) < 2$.

Now we prove $\alpha^*(m) > 1$. Let

$$\mathscr{U}(n,m) = \mathscr{M}(n,m) - \mathscr{N}(n,m), \mathscr{V}(n,m)(\beta) = \mathscr{U}(n,m) - \beta\mathscr{N}(n,m), \beta = \alpha - 1.$$

First, we need to prove that there exists $\beta \in (0,1)$ such that

$$\mathscr{V}(n,m;\beta)\mathbf{x}^m = (1+\beta)\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\mathbf{e}_i^\top \mathbf{x})^m + \sum_{i=2}^{n}(\mathbf{r}_i^\top \mathbf{x})^m - \beta(\mathbf{e}^\top \mathbf{x})^m \geq 0,$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying $||\mathbf{x}||_m = 1$.

If $\mathbf{e}^\top \mathbf{x} = 0$, then $\mathscr{V}(n,m;\beta)\mathbf{x}^m \geq 0$ for all $\beta \in [0,1]$. If $\mathbf{e}^\top \mathbf{x} \neq 0$, then there exists $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, \cdots, z_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$y_1 = \mathbf{e}^\top \mathbf{x}, y_i = \mathbf{r}_i^\top \mathbf{x}, i = 2, \cdots, n,$$

and

$$z_i = \frac{y_i}{y_1}, i = 1, \cdots, n.$$

Then $z_1 = 1$ and

$$\mathscr{V}(n,m;\beta)\mathbf{z}^m = y_1^m\left[(1+\beta)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(z_i - z_{i+1})^m + z_n^m\right) + \sum_{i=2}^{n} z_i^m - \beta\right].$$

Let

$$g_{n,m}(\mathbf{z},\beta)=(1+\beta)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(z_i-z_{i+1})^m+z_n^m\right)+\sum_{i=2}^{n}z_i^m, \tag{3}$$

and

$$f_{n,m}(\beta)\ =\min_{\mathbf{z}\in\mathbb{R}^n}\ g_{n,m}(\mathbf{z},\beta). \tag{4}$$

It is easy to get

$$0\le f_{n+1,m}(\beta)\le f_{n,m}(\beta), \text{for all } \beta\in[0,1].$$

Hence,

$$f_m(\beta)=\lim_{n\to+\infty}f_{n,m}(\beta) \tag{5}$$

exists for all $\beta\in[0,1]$.

In fact, if there exists $\beta\in[0,1]$ such that $f_m(\beta)=0$, then by the definition of $f_{n,m}(\beta)$, there exists $\mathbf{z}^*\in\mathbb{R}^n$ with $z_1^*=1$ such that $(1-z_2^*)^m<\varepsilon$ and $z_2^*<\varepsilon$, for any $\varepsilon>0$, which is impossible. Then $f_m(\beta)>0$. Furthermore, $f_m(0)>0$.

Additionally, when $m\ge 4$, assuming that $\mathbf{z}^*=\left(1,\frac{1}{2},0,\cdots,0\right)^\top$, we get $g_{n,m}(\mathbf{z}^*,1)=\frac{5}{2^m}$. Thus $f_m(1)\le f_{n,m}(1)\le\frac{5}{2^m}<1$.

Moreover, since m is even,

$$g_{n,m}(\mathbf{z},\beta_1)\le g_{n,m}(\mathbf{z},\beta_2), \text{for all } \mathbf{z}\in\mathbb{R}^n,\beta_1,\beta_2 \text{ with } 0\le\beta_1\le\beta_2.$$

Then $f_{n,m}(\beta_1)\le f_{n,m}(\beta_2)$ and $f_m(\beta_1)\le f_m(\beta_2)$, which means that $f_m(\beta)$ is a nondecreasing function in β on $[0,1]$.

Then we prove that $f_m(\beta)$ is a continuous function in β on $(0,1)$. Since $f_m(\beta)$ is a nondecreasing function, for any $\beta\in(0,1)$, $f_m(\beta^+)$, $f_m(\beta^-)$, $f_m(0^+)$, $f_m(1^-)$ exist and $f_m(\beta^+)\ge f_m(\beta^-)$. Assuming that $f_m(\beta^+)>f_m(\beta^-)$, and $\delta=\frac{f_m(\beta^+)-f_m(\beta^-)}{2}>0$, for $0<\beta_1<\beta<\beta_2$, there exists N^*, when $n>N^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}f_{n,m}(\beta_1)&\le f_m(\beta_1)+\frac{f_m(\beta^+)-f_m(\beta^-)}{2}\\&\le f_m(\beta^-)+\frac{f_m(\beta^+)-f_m(\beta^-)}{2}\\&=\frac{f_m(\beta^+)+f_m(\beta^-)}{2},\end{aligned}$$

and

$$f_{n,m}(\beta_2)\ge f_m(\beta_2)\ge f_m(\beta^+).$$

Thus, when $n>N^*$,

$$f_{n,m}(\beta_2)-f_{n,m}(\beta_1)\ge\frac{f_m(\beta^+)-f_m(\beta^-)}{2}=\delta>0.$$

Since $g_{n,m}(\mathbf{z},\beta)$ is continuous in β, and the level set of $g_{n,m}(\mathbf{z},\beta)$ is bounded, according to Proposition 4.4 in [1], $f_{n,m}(\beta)$ is continuous in β. When $\beta_1 \to \beta, \beta_2 \to \beta$, we obtain that $f_{n,m}(\beta^+) - f_{n,m}(\beta^-) \geq \dfrac{f_m(\beta^+) - f_m(\beta^-)}{2} = \delta > 0$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $f_m(\beta)$ is continuous in β on $(0,1)$.

Finally,

$$\partial(f_{n,m}(\beta))_\beta = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (z_i^* - z_{i+1}^*)^m \right\},$$

where $\mathbf{z}^* \in \arg\min_{\mathbf{z}\in\mathbb{R}^n, z_1=1} g_{n,m}(\mathbf{z},\beta)$. Noting that $f_{n,m}(\beta) < 1,$ for any $\beta \in [0,1]$, there exists $N > 0$ such that, when $n > N$, $||\partial(f_{n,m}(\beta))_\beta|| < 1$ and $||\partial(f_m(\beta))_\beta|| < 1$.

Hence, for all $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $z_1 = 1$, there exists only one $\beta^*(m) \in (0,1)$ satisfying $f_m(\beta^*(m)) = \beta^*(m)$. Besides that, when $0 < \beta \leq \beta^*(m)$, $g_{n,m}(\mathbf{z},\beta) \geq f_{n,m}(\beta) \geq f_m(\beta) \geq \beta$; When $\beta^*(m) \leq \beta < 1$, $f_m(\beta) \leq \beta$. This means that there exists $1 > \beta > 0$ satisfying

$$\mathscr{V}(n,m;\beta)x^m \geq 0,$$

and there exists $\alpha = 1 + \beta > 1$, satisfying

$$\mathscr{M}(n,m) - \alpha\mathscr{N}(n,m) \succeq 0.$$

Thus $\alpha^*(m) > 1$.

In addition, $\beta^*(m) = \alpha^*(m) - 1$ is proved. Apparently, $\alpha^*(m) - 1 \geq \beta^*(m)$. If $\alpha^*(m) - 1 > \beta^*(m)$, there exists β_1 such that $\beta^*(m) < \beta_1 < \alpha^*(m) - 1$. Since $f_m(\beta_1) < \beta_1$, by the definition of $f_m(\beta)$, there exists $N > 0$ such that, when $n > N$, $f_{n,m}(\beta_1) - \beta_1 < 0$. Therefore, $\mathscr{U}(n,m) - \beta_1\mathscr{N}(n,m) \not\succeq 0$, which is a contradiction. Thus $\beta^*(m) = \alpha^*(m) - 1$.

(3) From Property 1, when $m = 2$, $\alpha^*(2) = \max\{\Omega(2)\}$. According to (2), when $m \geq 4$, $\mathscr{M}(n,m) - \alpha^*(m)\mathscr{N}(n,m) \succeq 0$, which means that $\alpha^*(m) \in \Omega(m)$. Therefore, $\alpha^*(m) = \max\{\Omega(m)\}$, for all even m.

(4) Since $0 < f_{n,m}(\beta) < 1, \beta \in [0,1]$, when m is even and $m \geq 4$, there exists a $\mathbf{z}^* \in \arg\min_{\mathbf{z}, z_1=1} g_{n,m}(\mathbf{z},\beta)$ satisfying

$$(z_i^* - z_{i+1}^*)^m \leq 1, i = 1, \cdots, n-1, (z_i^*)^m \leq 1, i = 2, \cdots, n.$$

Then

$$(z_i^* - z_{i+1}^*)^{m+2} \leq (z_i^* - z_{i+1}^*)^m, i = 1, \cdots, n-1;$$
$$(z_i^*)^{m+2} \leq (z_i^*)^m, i = 2, \cdots, n.$$

Hence, $f_{n,m+2}(\beta) \leq f_{n,m}(\beta)$, and there exists $N > 0$, when $n > N$, $f_{m+2}(\beta) \leq f_m(\beta)$. By the definition of $\beta^*(m)$ above, without losing generality, assuming that $0 \leq \beta \leq \beta^*(m)$, we obtain that $f_{m-2}(\beta) \geq f_m(\beta) \geq \beta$. Therefore, $\beta^*(m-2) \geq \beta^*(m)$, which means $\alpha^*(m-2) \geq \alpha^*(m)$.

Since $\beta^*(m) \geq 0$ and $\beta^*(m)$ is nonincreasing, $\beta^* = \lim_{m\to+\infty} \beta^*(m)$ exists. If $\beta^* \neq 0$, then there exists a $N > 0$, when $n > N$ and $m > N$, we have $f_{n,m}(\beta^*(m)) \geq f_m(\beta^*(m)) =$

$\beta^*(m) \geq \beta^* > 0$. However, in the other side, when $n > N$ and $m > N$, $f_{n,m}(\beta^*(m)) \to 0$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $\beta^* = 0$ and $\lim_{m\to+\infty} \alpha^*(m) = 1$. □

By computing, we get that $\alpha^*(4) = 1.1429$, $\alpha^*(6) = 1.0323$, $\alpha^*(8) = 1.0079$. In the final work, a property of the minimal eigenvalue of the Moler tensor is obtained. Then, the positive definiteness of Moler tensors is proved.

Property 3. *Let $\Omega(m)$ be a Moler set. For all even $m \geq 4$ and $\alpha^*(m) = \max\{\Omega(m)\}$, $\mathscr{A}(n, m; \alpha^*(m))$ is a Moler tensor, i.e.,*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{A}_{i_1,\cdots,i_m}(n, m; \alpha^*(m)) &= \mathscr{M}(n, m) - \alpha^*(m)\mathscr{N}(n, m)\\ &= \begin{cases} i_1, & i_1 = i_2 = \cdots = i_m,\\ \min\{i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_m\} - \alpha^*(m), & otherwise.\end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

Assume that $\lambda_{min}(\mathscr{A}(n, m; \alpha^*(m)))$ is the smallest eigenvalue of $\mathscr{A}(n, m; \alpha^*(m))$. Then, $\lambda_{min}(\mathscr{A}(n, m; \alpha^*(m)))$ strictly decreases to 0, when $n \to \infty$.

Proof. By Theorem 1, it is easy to see that $\lambda_{min}(\mathscr{A}(n, m; \alpha^*(m)))$ decreases in n, for all even m. In the following, we prove that it is strictly decreasing to 0. $g_{n,m}(\mathbf{z}, \beta)$, $f_{n,m}(\beta)$ and $f_m(\beta)$ are defined as (3-5).

Since $1 > f_m(\beta^*(m)) = \beta^*(m) > 0$, $f_{n,m}(\beta^*(m)) \to \beta^*(m)$. Let $\mathbf{z}^* \in \arg\min_{\mathbf{z}\in\mathbb{R}^n} g_{n,m}(\mathbf{z}, \beta^*(m))$. Because $z_1^* = 1$, $||\mathbf{z}^*||_m \geq 1$. Let $w_i^* = z_i^* - z_{i+1}^*, i = 1, \cdots, n-1$, $w_n^* = z_n^*$. Then, when $m \geq 4$,

$$\beta^*(m) \leq g_{n,m}(\mathbf{z}^*, \beta^*(m)) = f_{n,m}(\beta^*) \leq f_{n,m}(1) < \frac{5}{2^m} < 1.$$

By the definition of $g_{n,m}(\mathbf{z}^*, \beta^*(m))$, $\sum_{i=2}^{n}(z_i^*)^m \leq \frac{5}{2^m} < 1$, which means that $|z_2^*| \leq \frac{5^{\frac{1}{m}}}{2} < 1$. Thus $w_1^* = 1 - z_2^* \geq 1 - \frac{5^{\frac{1}{m}}}{2}$. Hence, when $m \geq 4$, $||\mathbf{w}^*||_m \geq 1 - \frac{5^{\frac{1}{m}}}{2}$.

According to Theorem 1

$$0 \leq \lambda_{min}(\mathscr{A}(n, m; \alpha^*(m))) \leq \frac{\mathscr{A}(n, m; \alpha^*(m))(\mathbf{w}^*)^m}{||\mathbf{w}^*||_m^m}.$$

By the definition of $\mathbf{w}^*$, $\mathscr{A}(n, m; \alpha^*(m))(\mathbf{w}^*)^m \to 0$, when $n \to +\infty$. Since $||\mathbf{w}^*||_m \geq 1 - \frac{5^{\frac{1}{m}}}{2}$, we get that $\lambda_{min}(\mathscr{A}(n, m; \alpha^*(m))) \to 0$, when $n \to \infty$.

Then we prove that the decreasing of $\lambda_{min}(\mathscr{A}(n, m; \alpha^*(m)))$ is strict. Consider the following program:

$$\begin{aligned}\min \quad & \mathscr{A}(n, m; \alpha^*(m))\mathbf{x}^m\\ s.t. \quad & ||\mathbf{x}||_m = 1.\end{aligned}$$

Then its KKT conditions are

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{A}(n,m;\alpha^*(m))\mathbf{x}^{m-1} &= \lambda\mathbf{x}^{[m-1]}\\ ||\mathbf{x}||_m &= 1.\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

The smallest solution $\lambda_{n,m}$ and the corresponding vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ of above program are the smallest H-eigenvalue and H-eigenvector of $\mathscr{A}(n,m;\alpha^*(m))$. If $\lambda_{n,m} = \lambda_{n+1,m}$ for some n, then there exist $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\bar{\mathbf{x}} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ with $\bar{\mathbf{x}} = (\mathbf{x}^\top, 0)^\top$ satisfying

$$\mathscr{A}(n,m;\alpha^*(m))\mathbf{x}^{m-1} = \lambda_{n,m}\mathbf{x}^{[m-1]},$$

$$\mathscr{A}(n+1,m;\alpha^*(m))\bar{\mathbf{x}}^{m-1} = \lambda_{n+1,m}\bar{\mathbf{x}}^{[m-1]}.$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{i_2,\cdots,i_m=1}^{n+1} \mathscr{A}(n+1,m;\alpha^*(m))_{n+1,i_2,\cdots,i_m}\bar{x}_{i_2}\cdots\bar{x}_{i_m} = \lambda_{n+1,m}\bar{x}_{n+1}^{m-1}.$$

Since $\bar{\mathbf{x}} = (\mathbf{x}^\top, 0)^\top$, the above equation is

$$\sum_{i_2,\cdots,i_m=1}^{n} \mathscr{A}(n+1,m;\alpha^*(m))_{n+1,i_2,\cdots,i_m}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_m} = 0.$$

Because

$$\sum_{i_2,\cdots,i_m=1}^{n} \mathscr{A}(n,m;\alpha^*(m))_{n,i_2,\cdots,i_m}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_m} = \lambda_{n,m}x_n^{m-1},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i_2,\cdots,i_m=1}^{n} \mathscr{A}(n,m;\alpha^*(m))_{n,i_2,\cdots,i_m}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_m}\\ -&\sum_{i_2,\cdots,i_m=1}^{n} \mathscr{A}(n+1,m;\alpha^*(m))_{n+1,i_2,\cdots,i_m}x_{i_2}\cdots x_{i_m}\\ =&\alpha^*(m)x_n^{m-1} = \lambda_{n,m}x_n^{m-1}.\end{aligned}$$

According to the above proof, $\alpha^*(m) > 1 > \lambda_{n,m}$. Therefore $x_n = 0$. By the same discussion, we get $\mathbf{x} = 0$, which is against $||\mathbf{x}||_m = 1$. Thus, $\lambda_{min}(\mathscr{A}(n,m;\alpha^*(m)))$ strictly decreases. □

Corollary 2. *An even order Moler tensor $\mathscr{A}(n,m)$ is positive definite.*

Proof. According to Theorem 1 and Property 3, the result is obtained obviously. □

4 Final Remarks

In this paper, the Moler matrix is generalized to the Moler-like tensor and the Moler tensor with introducing the concepts of the Moler value, the Moler set and the Sup-Moler value. A special kind of the Moler-like tensor, the essential Moler tensor is discussed. We prove that an even order essential Moler tensor is also a completely positive tensor and positive definite. Then, some related properties of the Sup-Moler value of an even order Moler tensor are given. In the final work, the positive definiteness of an even order Moler tensor is proved, since the minimal H-eigenvalue of the Moler tensor strictly decreases to 0, when $n \to \infty$. In the future work, some of the applications of the Moler tensor will be discussed.

There are two further research questions for the Moler tensor and the Moler-like tensor.

1. Are they SOS (sum-of-squares) tensors? For the definition of SOS tensors, see [3]. An SOS tensor is a PSD tensor, but not vice versa. This theory can be traced back to David Hilbert [8]. We randomly tested some Moler tensors, and found that they were SOS tensors. Can we prove or disprove this?

2. Can we use the Moler tensor as a class of test tensors in some tensor computation software packages?

We will further study these two questions.

Acknowledgments: We are thankful to Professor Charles Van Loan for the discussion. L. Qi, was supported in part by by the Hong Kong Research Grant Council Nos. PolyU 501212, 501913, 15302114 and 15300715. Y. Xu was supported in part by National Natural Science Foundation of China Nos. 11501100, 11571178 and 11671082.

References

[1] J.F. Bonnans and A. Shapiro, Perturbation Analysis of Optimization Problems, Springer, New York, 2013.

[2] B.W. Bader and T.G. Kolda, MATLAB Tensor Toolbox Version 2.6, http://www.sandia.gov/∼tgKolda/TensorToolbox/, 2015.

[3] H. Chen, G. Li, and L. Qi, SOS tensor decomposition: theory and applications, Commun. Math. Sci. 14 (2016) 2073-2100.

[4] A. Cichocki, R. Zdunek, A.H. Phan and S. Amari, Nonnegative Matrix and Tensor Factorizations: Applications to Exploratory Multiway Data Analysis and Blind Source Separation, Wiley, New York, (2009).

[5] J.J. Dongarra, J.R. Bunch, C.B. Moler and G.W. Stewart, LINPACK users' guide, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1979.

[6] G.E. Forsythe, C.B. Moler and M.A. Malcolm, Computer methods for mathematical computations, Prentice-Hall, 1977.

[7] N.J. Higham, The Test Matrix Toolbox for MATLAB (Version 3.0), Manchester: University of Manchester, 1995.

[8] D. Hilbert, Über die Darstellung definiter Formen als Summe von Formenquadraten, Math. Ann. 32 (1888) 342-350.

[9] T.G. Kolda and B.W. Bader, Tensor decompositions and applications, SIAM Rev. 51 (2009) 455-500.

[10] C. Li, F. Wang, J. Zhao, Y. Zhu and Y. Li, Criterions for the positive definiteness of real supersymmetric tensors, J. Comput. Applied. Math. 255 (2014) 1-14.

[11] Z. Luo and L. Qi, Positive semidefinite tensors (in Chinese), Sci. Sin. Math. 46 (2016) 639-654.

[12] Z. Luo and L. Qi, Completely positive tensors: Properties, easily checkable subclasses and tractable relaxations, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 37 (2016) 1675-1698.

[13] C.B. Moler, Numerical Computing with MATLAB: Revised Reprint, SIAM, Philadephia, 2008.

[14] J.C. Nash, Compact Numerical Methods for Computers: Linear Algebra and Function Minimisation, CRC Press, 1990.

[15] L. Qi, Eigenvalues of a real supersymmetric tensor, J. Symbolic Comput. 40 (2005) 1302-1324.

[16] L. Qi, H^+-eigenvalues of Laplacian and signless Laplacian tensors, Commun. Math. Sci. 12 (2014) 1045-1064.

[17] L. Qi and Y. Song, An even order symmetric B tensor is positive definite, Linear Algebra Appl. 457 (2014) 303-312.

[18] L. Qi, G. Yu and E.X. Wu, Higher order positive semidefinite diffusion tensor imaging, SIAM J Imaging Sci. 3 (2010) 416-433.

[19] B.T. Smith, J.M. Boyle, B.S. Garbow, Y. Ikebe, V.C. Klema and C.B. Moler, Matrix eigensystem routines-EISPACK guide, Springer, New York, 2013.

[20] L. Zhang, L. Qi and G. Zhou, M-tensors and some applications, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 35 (2014) 437-452.